

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/900,963	07/10/2001	Claudine Guerin-Marchand	1010830-000118	8667
21839 7590 05/11/2007 BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC POST OFFICE BOX 1404			EXAMINER	
			LUCAS, ZACHARIAH	
ALEXANDR	IA, VA 22313-1404		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1648	
		·	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/11/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/900,963	GUERIN-MARCHAND ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Zachariah Lucas	1648	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

The amendment document filed on <u>08 March 2007</u> is considered non-com requirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment docume item(s) is required.	
THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT DO 1. Amendments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings. B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined. C. Other	CUMENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT:
 2. Abstract: A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72. B. Other 	
 3. Amendments to the drawings: A. The drawings are not properly identified in the top margin "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1.121(d). B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing correction is showing amended figures, without markings, in compliar C. Other 	nas been eliminated. Replacement drawings
 4. Amendments to the claims: A. A complete listing of all of the claims is not present. B. The listing of claims does not include the text of all pending. C. Each claim has not been provided with the proper status of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the status of enumber by using one of the following status identifiers: (Previously presented), (New), (Not entered), (Withdraws). D. The claims of this amendment paper have not been presented. E. Other: See Continuation Sheet. 	sidentifier, and as such, the individual status every claim must be indicated after its claim Original), (Currently amended), (Canceled), n) and (Withdrawn-currently amended).
5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or not signed in accord	dance with 37 CFR 1.4):
For further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.12	1, see MPEP § 714.
TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:	
 Applicant is given no new time period if the non-compliant amendment filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-complian entire corrected amendment must be resubmitted. 	nt is an after-final amendment or an amendment tafter-final amendment with corrections, the
2. Applicant is given one month, or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a p (including a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or Quayle action. If any of above boxes 1. to 4. are checked, the correction non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.	oreliminary amendment, a non-final amendment of under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a
Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) only if the amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action.	e non-compliant amendment is a non-final
Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in: Abandonment of the application if the non-compliant amendment filed in response to a Quayle action; or Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment is amendment.	
Legal Instruments Examiner (LIE), if applicable	Telephone No.

Continuation of 4(e) Other: The claims have not been presented showing the changes relative to the most recent version of the claims. For example, the amendments to claims 31 and 32 should be shown realtive to the claim as presented in the amendment of September 2006 (i.e. in claim 31, showing a deletion of the parenthesis around the sequence identifier, and not showing the prior deletion of the reference to the Figure; and in claim 32, not indicating that the parenthesis were just added. The amendments to claims 33 are also not shown relative to the immediate prior version of the claim.

ZACHARIAH LUCAS PATENT EXAMINER

3